

<b>*Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/779,913	WANG, SHING-JUNG	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Helen F. Pratt	1761	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

 (1) Helen F. Pratt.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

 (2) Gary Shekleton.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 21 September 2007
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claim 1 needs to be amended to require that the wheat flour is a component of wheat flour, which contains particular ingredients, because the specification did not give basis for using as in line 3 of claim 1 only wheat flour. See page 4, lines 1-3, and lines 15-19 and page 7, lines 1-2 under Ex. 1. Claim 3 should also be cancelled and the dependency of the claims changed to claims 1 and 6. The attorney of record agreed that these changes can be made by Examiner's Amendment.